

Minimum Necessary Implementation: Reducing Attack Surface to Increase Security

Saturday, May 14, 2011

The Open Web Application Security Project
Long Island Chapter
Hempstead, New York



OWASP
The Open Web Application Security Project

Robert Gezelter Software Consultant
35 – 20 167th Street, Suite 215
Flushing, New York 11358 – 1731
United States of America

+1 (718) 463 1079
gezelter@rlgsc.com
<http://www.rlgsc.com>

If it makes a sound, SET IT ON SILENT
(e.g., mobiles, pagers, PDA, smartphone)

Web Application Security

- many components
- many vulnerabilities
- What this talk does not cover:
 - Encryption
 - Communications
 - Applications Design

Today's topic:

- Attack Surface
 - Unnecessary technology vulnerabilities

A matter of trust –

- Multilateral trust is a danger
 - Scripts/applets trust browser environment
 - Client/server both trust communications
 - Servers trust clients

Poorly-placed trust is THE problem –

- Malevolent clients
- Compromised servers
- Unfaithful intermediaries

Foundation:

- “... maintain maximum security by minimizing distribution”
 - Office of Naval Operations, circa 1941
- a simple principle
 - What one does not have, cannot be leaked.
 - “Once three people know it, it is not a secret”

In Operating Systems –

- protected access modes
- “minimum necessary privilege”
- The basis of ALL multiuser environments
 - What is prohibited cannot be abused.

What does this mean for Web Applications?

- Consider different hazards/attacks
 - SQL Injection
 - Business Logic errors

A common thread:

- excessive trust/capability
 - more access/authority than needed
 - escalation

The role of technologies –

- capability vs. need
 - Different technologies
 - Different capabilities
 - Match technology, capabilities to need

Example:

- Changes in visual appearance
 - CSS: mouseover event
 - JavaScript: mouseover event
 - Java: mouseover event
 - Each has different capabilities, vulnerabilities

A Deeper Look: CSS

- Limited to stylistic issues
- Cannot be used as a springboard

A Deeper Look: JavaScript

- Full access to the DOM
- If signed: Potential full access to the machine
- A question of trust
- No Public/Private methods

A Deeper Look: Java

- Full access to the DOM
- If Signed: Potential full access to the machine
- A question of trust
- Private methods; FINAL

Different potentials

- CSS: very constrained
- Java/JavaScript: more capable; also exploitable

SQL Injection

- an example of “excessive capability”
- server excessively trusts client
 - `http://xyz.com?user=fred&...`
 - `http://xyz.com?user=charlie&...`
 - Why grant trust?

Attack surface: A matter of trust

- Minimize trust
- Up front efforts far cheaper than remediation
- VERY fat tail for compromises

Questions?

Robert Gezelter Software Consultant
35 – 20 167th Street, Suite 215
Flushing, New York 11358 – 1731
United States of America

+1 (718) 463 1079
gezelter@rlgsc.com
<http://www.rlgsc.com>

Session Notes & Materials:

<http://www.rlgsc.com/owasp/longisland/2011/index.html>