### Session 1620

# The Third Porting: Applying Past Lessons to the Alpha/Itanium Transition

Thursday, September 13, 2001 – 9:30 AM, Room 210B Friday, September, 14, 2001 – 11:00 AM, Room 210C

Robert Gezelter Software Consultant 35 – 20 167th Street, Suite 215 Flushing, New York 11358 – 1731 United States of America

+1 (718) 463 1079 gezelter@rlgsc.com http://www.rlgsc.com

### This session is about –

- Technical review
- Issues in porting
- History and context of EPIC (CISC, RISC, EPIC)
- Technical emphasis
- Sizing and migration

### This session is NOT about -

- Marketing
- Non-disclosure material
- Product sales strategies

# In the interest of transparency –

- I have never been an employee of Digital/Compaq/Intel employee
- I do have a small holding in Compaq stock
- I am not presently a consultant to Compaq/Intel
- None of the material is derived from a Non-Disclosure
- The opinions expressed are purely my own.

### Don't know means DON'T KNOW -

- But we can make reasonable analyses based upon published data
  - Published Alpha specifications
  - Published Itanium(tm) specifications
  - OpenVMS documentation set
  - Digital Technical Journal

# Don't know means DON'T KNOW (cont'd) -

- and upon applicable experience
  - PDP-11 to VAX (1978 present)
  - VAX to Alpha (1992 present)
  - General experience

### My personal background -

- 25 years of experience on multiple platforms
- Platforms (integersize/addresssize/integer forma
  - IBM System/360/370 (32/34/2)
  - Digital PDP-11 (16/16/2)
  - Digital VAX (32/32/2)
  - CDC 6600 (60/18?/1)
  - Digital PDP-10 (36/?/2)
  - Compaq Alpha (64/64/32)

# My personal background (cont'd) -

- Compiler code generator developer
- uncompleted PhD research
- FPS-164 array processor experience
- Portable software developer

### **Architectural Attributes**

	PDP-11	VAX	Alpha	Itanium
Architecture Type	1/2 Address	CISC	RISC	EPIC
Address Size	16	32	64	64
Integer Size	16	32	64	64
Byte Order	little	little	little	little
Alignment	word	none	quad	quad

### **Architectural Attributes**

	PDP-11	VAX	Alpha	Itanium
Architecture Type	1/2 Address	CISC	RISC	EPIC
Address Size	16	32	64	64
Integer Size	16	32	64	64
Byte Order	little	little	little	little
Alignment	word	none	quad	quad

# Porting –

- Cross Platform/OS
  (Solaris C/C++ to OpenVMS Alpha)
- Cross O/S (OpenVMS C/C++ to Tru64 C/C++)
- Cross Platform/Sdame OS OpenVMS VAX to/from Alpha

# Porting Difficulty –

	Operating System		
	Same	Different	
Same Platform	0	10*	
Different Platform	1	15*	

<sup>\*</sup> Highly Application Sensitive

### **Itanium Issues**–

- Atomicity
- Precision
- Address Size
- Granularity
- Alignment
- Byte Ordering

# Atomicity –

- on VAX, INCx was accidentally thread atomic
- on Alpha, translated as load/add/store
- Alpha translation was not safe
- accidental atomicity was not part of the spec
- solution use ADAWI

### Precision -

- VAX floating point/integer sizes/formats different from ALPHA
- Alpha and Itanium same precision/formats

### Address Size –

- VAX 32 bits
- Alpha/Itanium 64 bits
- VAX to Alpha required data structure changes

### **Granularity** –

- VAX was byte aligned for all operands
- Alpha/Itanium require natural alignment
- VAX was prone to fractured loads/stores

# Data Alignment –

- VAX was byte aligned all operands
- Alpha/Itanium require natural alignment
- No difference between Alpha/Itanium

# Byte Ordering –

- VAX is little endian (low byte addressed)
- Alpha is little endian
- Itanium operates little/big endian

### **History and Context of EPIC –**

- Alpha antecedents include IBM System 360/91
- Itanium descended from VLIW, and microcode
- Itanium is more dependent on compilers
- compiler dependency is not new
- EPIC presumes that virtually all code is geneated by compilers

# Technical Emphasis -

- from a progamming level Itanium and Alpha have similar restrictions
- there are few technical/programming impediments to porting applications between Alpha/Itanium

# Sizing and Migration-

- sizing (speed and/or size) is quite application sensitive
- strategy get smallest/cheapest system
- so science DO NOT guess
- optimization may have substantial impact

### **Questions?**

Robert Gezelter Software Consultant 35 – 20 167th Street, Suite 215 Flushing, New York 11358 – 1731 United States of America

+1 (718) 463 1079 gezelter@rlgsc.com http://www.rlgsc.com

Session Notes & Materials: http://www.rlgsc.com/cets/2001/index.html